

INTEGRATION OF ONTOLOGY, EPISTEMOLOGY, AND AXIOLOGY IN EDUCATIONAL MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

Priyatno^{*1}

¹*Universitas Islam Annur Lampung*

*prylintangdp@gmail.com

Abstract

The philosophy of science plays an important role in providing a conceptual foundation for the development of various scientific disciplines, including educational management. The philosophy of science does not merely address technical and methodological aspects, but also examines the nature, sources, and values of knowledge itself. This study aims to explore the role of ontology, epistemology, and axiology within the philosophy of science and their relevance to educational management practices. The research employs a literature review method by analyzing academic sources such as journal articles, books, and scholarly references related to the philosophy of science and educational management. Data analysis is conducted qualitatively through the stages of data reduction, data presentation, and conclusion drawing. The findings indicate that ontology provides an understanding of the essence of educational management as a system oriented toward holistic learner development. Epistemology explains the processes of acquiring, developing, and validating managerial knowledge through scientific approaches and practical experience. Meanwhile, axiology emphasizes the values, objectives, and ethical orientation that should be realized in educational management practices. The integration of these three philosophical aspects serves as an essential foundation for developing educational management practices that are not only technically effective and efficient, but also meaningful, ethical, and socially oriented.

Keywords: Philosophy of Science, Ontology, Epistemology, Axiology, Educational Management

INTRODUCTION

The development of science and technology has led to the emergence of increasingly specific and well-structured disciplines, including in the field of educational management. However, such advancements are not always able to address fundamental issues related to the nature, purpose, and values underlying educational management practices. In this context, the philosophy of science plays an essential role as a reflective, critical, and in-depth foundation for the development of knowledge. According to Batubara (2017), the philosophy of science provides a fundamental framework for understanding scientific processes beyond technical aspects. Furthermore, Luthfiyah and Lhobir (2023) emphasize that philosophical perspectives—such as ontology, epistemology, and

axiology—are essential in shaping a comprehensive understanding of knowledge.

Philosophy and science have a close and complementary relationship. Science focuses on empirical observation and systematic testing of phenomena, whereas philosophy seeks to interpret, reflect upon, and uncover the meaning behind those phenomena. The philosophy of science functions as a conceptual framework that guides scientific thinking so that it is not merely technical and procedural, but also capable of addressing fundamental questions concerning the nature of reality, the sources of knowledge, and the values and purposes of science itself. According to Mohammad Adib (2011), the philosophy of science provides a comprehensive framework for understanding knowledge through ontological, epistemological, and axiological perspectives.

Within the philosophy of science, there are three main aspects that form the foundation of scientific understanding—ontology, epistemology, and axiology (Chasanah, 2017). Ontology examines the nature or reality of the object being studied, epistemology explores the sources and processes of acquiring knowledge, while axiology investigates the values, purposes, and benefits of that knowledge. These three aspects constitute an interconnected framework that cannot be separated in the development of any discipline, including educational management.

Educational management, as both a field of study and a practical domain, is not limited to the administration of resources, organizational processes, and educational systems, but also involves an understanding of the nature of education, the knowledge base used in decision-making, and the values to be realized through educational processes (Hidayat, 2016). Without a strong philosophical foundation, educational management practices risk becoming overly technocratic, focusing solely on efficiency and effectiveness while neglecting dimensions of values, ethics, and humanity.

However, previous studies on educational management predominantly emphasize technical, administrative, and performance-oriented dimensions, often overlooking the philosophical foundations that underpin educational practices (Wang et al., 2025). Jauhari et al. (2025) also indicate that research in educational management tends to prioritize measurable outcomes rather than deeper philosophical reflection. Anggraini et al. (2025) further highlight that such approaches give insufficient attention to the integration of ontological, epistemological, and axiological perspectives. As a result, philosophical discussions in this field are frequently treated in isolation, without adequately demonstrating how these dimensions can be systematically integrated into practical educational management. This condition reveals a clear gap between philosophical conceptualization and its

practical application in educational management contexts.

In response to this gap, this study proposes a more integrative and holistic analysis that not only explains each philosophical dimension, but also examines how ontology, epistemology, and axiology can be interconnected and operationalized within educational management practices. Addressing this gap is important to ensure that educational management is not only efficient in a technical sense, but also grounded in meaningful values and ethical considerations.

Therefore, examining the integration of ontology, epistemology, and axiology in educational management practices is essential in order to provide a more holistic and comprehensive understanding. The integration of these three philosophical aspects is expected to serve as a foundation for developing educational management practices that are not only technically effective, but also meaningful, ethical, and oriented toward student development and social well-being. Based on this perspective, this study aims to analyze the role and relevance of ontology, epistemology, and axiology in educational management practices as a philosophical foundation for managing education.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study employed a qualitative approach using a library research design. A literature study was selected because this research aims to examine and analyze concepts, theories, and perspectives related to ontology, epistemology, and axiology within the philosophy of science, as well as their relevance to educational management practices. This approach enables the researcher to gain a comprehensive understanding of the philosophical foundations underlying the management of education.

Data were collected through an extensive review of relevant literature, including scholarly journal articles, reference

books, and other academic publications addressing the philosophy of science, educational management, and the interrelation of ontological, epistemological, and axiological aspects in educational practices. These sources were obtained from academic databases and search engines, such as Google Scholar, with careful consideration of topic relevance, source credibility, and alignment with the research objectives.

Data analysis was conducted qualitatively through several stages, namely data reduction, data display, and conclusion drawing. In the data reduction stage, information relevant to the research focus was selected and classified based on the themes of ontology, epistemology, and axiology. Subsequently, the categorized data were systematically presented in a descriptive-analytical form to facilitate understanding and interpretation. The final stage involved drawing conclusions by synthesizing findings from various sources to obtain a comprehensive picture of the integration of ontology, epistemology, and axiology in educational management practices.

Through this method, the study is expected to produce an in-depth and systematic conceptual analysis, as well as contribute theoretically to the development of educational management practices grounded in a comprehensive philosophical understanding.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Ontology in Educational Management Practices

Ontology in the philosophy of science is concerned with the study of the nature and reality of the objects being examined (Munip, 2024). In the context of educational management, ontology plays a crucial role in explaining the nature of education and management as an integrated system. Education is understood as a planned process aimed at developing students' potential holistically, encompassing intellectual, social, emotional, and moral dimensions (Azra, 2002). Therefore, educational management

should not be viewed merely as an administrative activity, but rather as a management process oriented toward achieving educational goals in a comprehensive manner.

Findings from the literature review indicate that a strong ontological understanding encourages educational management practices to position students at the center of all management processes. This finding is in line with Jasnain et al. (2022), who emphasize that educational practices grounded in ontological perspectives tend to prioritize the holistic development of learners. Management, therefore, functions as a means of coordinating educational resources—including human resources, curriculum, facilities and infrastructure, as well as policies—so that the educational process can be carried out effectively and meaningfully. Furthermore, Salim (2014) highlights that educational management should be oriented not only toward administrative efficiency but also toward achieving broader educational goals. In this regard, ontology provides a fundamental framework for educational managers to understand what is being managed and why such management is necessary.

Epistemology in Educational Management Practices

Epistemology is concerned with the sources, processes, and validity of knowledge (Dewi, 2021). In the context of educational management practices, epistemology plays a crucial role in explaining how managerial knowledge is developed, applied, and evaluated. Based on the literature analysis, knowledge in educational management is derived from various sources, including scholarly studies, research findings, practical experience, as well as evaluations of existing management practices.

An epistemological approach to educational management emphasizes the importance of employing rational, systematic, and data-driven methods in decision-making processes. This finding is supported by Ikhsan

et al. (2024), who highlight that epistemological perspectives encourage the use of systematic and scientific approaches in understanding and managing knowledge. This includes needs-based planning, structured organization, controlled implementation, and continuous evaluation. Furthermore, Puspa and Aripin (2025) emphasize that modern educational management requires adaptive and evidence-based approaches in order to respond to contemporary challenges. With a strong epistemological foundation, educational management practices are not merely based on intuition or habitual actions, but on knowledge that is scientifically accountable and justifiable.

Furthermore, epistemology encourages educational managers to continuously develop their knowledge through critical reflection and ongoing information updates. This finding aligns with Suharto (2008), who emphasizes the importance of critical reflection in educational practices as a means of responding to changing social and intellectual contexts. This is essential to ensure that educational management practices remain adaptive to environmental changes, policy demands, and the advancement of science and technology.

Axiology in Educational Management Practices

Axiology is concerned with the values, purposes, and benefits of knowledge and practices (Wiyono, 2016). In the context of educational management, axiology plays a significant role in determining the direction and orientation of educational governance. Findings from the literature review indicate that educational management practices are not value-neutral; rather, they are inherently linked to ethical, social, and human considerations.

Educational management is not solely aimed at achieving organizational efficiency and effectiveness, but must also generate meaningful benefits for students, educators, educational institutions, and society at large. This perspective is supported by Suharto

(2013), who emphasizes that educational practices should be oriented toward broader social and ethical purposes. In addition, Retnowati et al. (2025) highlight that axiological considerations place values such as fairness, responsibility, accountability, and social usefulness as essential foundations in decision-making processes. Values such as fairness, responsibility, accountability, and social usefulness therefore serve as essential foundations in every managerial decision.

The findings of this study indicate that axiology plays a crucial role in redefining the benchmarks of success in educational management. It is not sufficient to measure success solely through administrative achievements or organizational performance; rather, greater emphasis should be placed on its impact on the quality of education and the holistic development of learners. This finding is consistent with Sheokand et al. (2025), who argue that value-oriented approaches in educational management highlight the importance of aligning managerial practices with broader educational goals and learner development.

The findings of this study indicate that ontology, epistemology, and axiology are three interrelated and inseparable aspects in educational management practices. Ontology provides an understanding of the nature and purposes of education, epistemology offers a framework for acquiring and developing managerial knowledge, while axiology directs management practices toward the values and goals to be achieved. This finding is supported by Marini et al. (2025), who emphasize that the integration of philosophical dimensions contributes to a more comprehensive understanding of educational management. In addition, Yulianti and Muid (2025) argue that the alignment of ontological, epistemological, and axiological perspectives enables educational managers to balance technical effectiveness with value-oriented educational goals.

The findings further reveal that the integration of these three aspects results in

educational management practices that are not solely oriented toward technical and procedural dimensions, but also toward meaning, values, and social responsibility. Educational management grounded in the integration of ontology, epistemology, and axiology is therefore capable of creating a balance between effective management and the achievement of broader educational goals. This finding aligns with Karim et al. (2024), who highlights that a philosophically grounded approach to educational management enables the harmonization of managerial efficiency with value-based educational outcomes.

Thus, this integrated approach serves as an essential foundation for developing sustainable and meaningful educational management practices in the face of the dynamic changes in education.

CONCLUSION

The integration of ontology, epistemology, and axiology constitutes an essential philosophical foundation for developing comprehensive and meaningful educational management practices. Ontology provides an understanding of the nature of educational management as a system oriented toward the holistic development of learners, ensuring that management practices are not merely viewed as administrative and technical activities. Epistemology plays a role in explaining how managerial knowledge is acquired, developed, and validated through scientific approaches, practical experience, and critical reflection on educational practices. Meanwhile, axiology emphasizes the values, purposes, and ethical orientations that must be realized in educational management, such as fairness, responsibility, and social usefulness.

The findings indicate that educational management practices grounded in the integration of these three philosophical aspects are able to create a balance between efficiency and effectiveness in management and the achievement of broader and more meaningful educational goals. This

integration enables educational managers to make decisions that are not only rational and data-driven but also considerate of values and social impacts. Therefore, strengthening the understanding of the philosophy of science among educational leaders and managers becomes a strategic necessity to ensure that educational management practices continue to evolve adaptively, ethically, and sustainably toward improving the quality of education.

REFERENCES

- Adib, M. (2011). *Filsafat Ilmu Ontologi, Epistemologi, Aksiologi, dan Logika Ilmu – Pengetahuan*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Belajar.
- Anggraini, D. A., Suciptaningsih, O., Anggraini, A. E., & Kuswandi, D. (2025). Philosophical ontology, axiology, and epistemology approaches in developing literacy through differentiated instruction strategies in elementary schools. *Eduvest - Journal of Universal Studies*, 5(5), 5786–5798. <https://doi.org/10.59188/eduvest.v5i5.50078>
- Azra, A. (2002). *Pendidikan Islam: Tradisi dan Modernitas*. Jakarta: Prenada Media.
- Batubara, J. (2017). Paradigma Penelitian Kualitatif dan Filsafat Ilmu Pengetahuan dalam Konseling. *Jurnal Fokus Konseling*, 3(2), 95. <https://doi.org/10.26638/jfk.387.2099>
- Chasanah, U. (2017). *Ontologi, Epistemologi, dan Aksiologi Pendidikan*. Yogyakarta: Deepublish.
- Dewi, R. (2021). Ilmu dalam tinjauan filsafat: Ontologi, epistemologi, dan aksiologi. *Jurnal Filsafat Ilmu*, 7(2), 172–186.
- Hidayat, R. (2016). Pendidikan Islam sebagai ilmu: Tinjauan ontologi, epistemologi, dan aksiologi. *Jurnal Studi Agama*,

- I(1), 1–22.
<https://doi.org/10.46576/jsa.v1i1.46>.
- Ikhsan, F. A., Utaya, S., Bachri, S., Sugiarto, A., & Sejati, A. E. (2024). Paradigma Filsafat Geografi Kontemporer: Kajian Ontologi, Epistemologi, Aksiologi, dan Keterampilan Sainstik. *Majalah Geografi Indonesia*, 38(1).
<https://doi.org/10.22146/mgi.85222>
- Jasnain, T., Mardianti, B., Sari, R., Wardarita, R., & Utami, P. I. (2022). Kajian ontologi, epistemologi, dan aksiologi dalam pendidikan Islam di Indonesia. *Al-Fatih: Jurnal Pendidikan dan Keislaman*, 5(1), 43–56.
<https://doi.org/10.61132/jmpai.v3i6.1572>
- Jauhari, A., Tatihoran, N., & Firdaus, R. (2025). Research and development in educational management: A systematic literature review. *Al-Hikmah: Indonesian Journal of Early Childhood Islamic Education*, 9(1), 13–22.
<https://doi.org/10.35896/ijecie.v9i1.912>
- Karim, A. M., Chowdhury, T. I., Karim, A. M., & Ahmed, A. R. (2024). The impact of educational management on higher education: International perspective. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 14(1), 427–439.
<https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBS/v14-i1/20462>
- Luthfiyah, L., & Lhobir, A. (2023). Ontologi, Epistemologi dan Aksiologi Filsafat Pendidikan. *Jurnal Basicedu*, 7(5), 3249–3254.
<https://doi.org/10.31004/basicedu.v7i5.6150>
- Marini, T., Wijaya, M., & Saifullah, S. (2025). Integration of philosophical thinking methods to encourage deep learning achievement in educational units. *Journal Emerging Technologies*
- in *Education*, 2(6), 434–443.
<https://doi.org/10.70177/jete.v2i6.1892>
- Munip, A. (2024). Ilmu dalam tinjauan filsafat: Ontologi, epistemologi, dan aksiologi. *Aulia: Jurnal Pendidikan dan Keislaman*, 10(1), 49–58.
<https://doi.org/10.46963/aulia.v10i1.1875>
- Puspa, N. T., & Aripin, S. (2025). Tantangan dan solusi pendidikan Islam di era digital: Menjaga nilai religius di tengah arus modernisasi. *Jurnal Manajemen dan Pendidikan Agama Islam*, 3(6), 164–172.
<https://doi.org/10.61132/jmpai.v3i6.1572>
- Retnowati, A., Rumlinda, R., Lestari, F. I., Surachmi, S., & Ratnasari, Y. (2025). Application of axiological values in character-based education management. *Widyagogik*, 13(4), 338–354.
- Salim, A. (2014). Implikasi aliran filsafat pendidikan Islam pada manajemen pendidikan. *Literasi*, 5(1), 13–28.
[https://doi.org/10.21927/literasi.2014.5\(1\).13-28](https://doi.org/10.21927/literasi.2014.5(1).13-28)
- Sheokand, U., Mukombegumi, T. B., Pelzang, T., & David, E. G. (2025). Professional value orientation in education: Linking teachers' core beliefs with student achievement and holistic outcomes. *Advanced International Journal for Research*, 6(6), 1–19.
<https://doi.org/10.63363/aijfr.2025.v06i06.2233>
- Suharto, T. (2008). *Arah Baru Studi Islam di Indonesia: Teori dan Metodologi*. Yogyakarta: Ar-Ruzz Media.
- Suharto, T. (2013). *Pendidikan Berbasis Masyarakat Organik: Pengalaman Pesantren Persatuan Islam*. Surakarta: FATABA Press.

Wang, J., Hussain, Y., Mao, C., & Jiang, F. (2025). Effective educational management strategies: Enhancing institutional performance and student success. *Journal of Education Humanities and Social Research*, 2(2), 1–12.
<https://doi.org/10.71222/yqw52v02>

Wiyono, M. (2016). Pemikiran filsafat Al-Farabi. *Substantia: Jurnal Ilmu-Ilmu Ushuluddin*, 18(1), 1–6.
<https://doi.org/10.22373/substantia.v18i1.3984>

Yulianti, H., & Muid, A. (2025). Philosophy of educational management: The usefulness and benefits of Islamic educational management in the development of science. *ARZUSIN*, 5(6), 3014–3027.
<https://doi.org/10.58578/arzusin.v5i6.8445>